

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/611,594	06/30/2003	David R. Johnson	T-6248	2507	
34014	7590 05/17/2005		EXAM	INER	
CHEVRON TEXACO CORPORATION			NGUYEN	NGUYEN, TAM M	
P.O. BOX 60 SAN RAMO	N, CA 94583-0806		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1764		

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· • •						
7	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/611,594	JOHNSON ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tam M. Nguyen	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 25 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee, atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS	to a second seco	6 20	L			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(a) ☑ They raise flew issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below),  (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
NOTE: See attachment. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and	41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s						
<ol><li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li></ol>	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ient canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-29</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.  10. The affidavit as attack a videos is entered. An evidence is a start of the evidence is entered.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on on the status of the claims after (	eniny is below or attac	леа.			

Zam 5/15/05

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

The limitations " $C_5$ +" and "wherein the cracking conversion is 20 percent or less" added in claims 1, 23, and 26 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Therefore, the amendment will not be entered.